## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 CARMEN IOVINO, Case No.: 2:22-cv-01974-APG-VCF 4 Plaintiff Order Affirming Magistrate Judge's Order 5 [ECF Nos. 75, 76] v. 6 AMTRUST FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., et al., 7 **Defendants** 8 9 Magistrate Judge Ferenbach denied the plaintiff's motion to compel (ECF No. 38), granted the defendants' motion for protective order (ECF No. 40), and granted in part the 11 defendants' motion to compel (ECF No. 51). ECF Nos. 75, 77. The plaintiff appealed those 12 decisions. ECF No. 76. I have reviewed the appeal, Judge Ferenbach's decisions, the transcript of the hearing, and the underlying papers. Judge Ferenbach' Order is not "clearly erroneous or 13 14 contrary to law." Local Rule IB 3-1(a). 15 I HEREBY ORDER that Magistrate Judge Ferenbach' orders (ECF Nos. 75, 77) are affirmed, and the plaintiff's appeal (ECF No. 76) is denied. 17 I FURTHER ORDER the parties to meet and confer regarding the production of 18 documents and the FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition, as Magistrate Judge Ferenbach ordered (ECF No. 19|| 77), within 30 days. Any remaining discovery disputes will be addressed by Magistrate Judge 20 Couvillier. 21 DATED this 10th day of January, 2024. 22 23 ANDREW P. GORDON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE